

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

70

8th International Symposium on Gastrointestinal Hormones

Abstracts

192 Inhibition of Peptidases Potentiates Enkephalin-Induced Contraction of Gastric Muscle Cells

D. Menozzi^a, P.N. Maton^b, Z.F. Gu^b,
N.W. Runnett^a

^aUniversity of California, San Francisco, Calif.;

^bDigestive Diseases Branch, NIDDK, NIH,
Bethesda, Md., USA

Cell-surface peptidases degrade enkephalins and thereby restrict the number of molecules available to activate receptors. The effects of peptidase inhibitors on the degradation and contractile actions of enkephalin on gastric smooth muscle cells were examined. Muscle cells were isolated from the guinea-pig by collagenase digestion. Cells degraded [³H][Leu⁵]enkephalin (assessed by reverse-phase HPLC): 29 ± 1% degradation, 30 min incubation; 53 ± 0.5%, 60 min; 76 ± 4%, 120 min (mean ± SD, n = 3 animals). Amastatin (10 μM, aminopeptidase inhibitor) inhibited degradation by 72 ± 1% (n = 4). Residual activity was inhibited by phosphoramidon (1 μM, endopeptidase EC 3.4.24.11 inhibitor) by 58 ± 11% (n = 4). Presence of EC 3.4.24.11 was confirmed by indirect immunocytochemistry. Enkephalin stimulated contraction of cells (assessed by microscopy after a 30 s incubation) in a dose-related manner (EC₅₀ 10 ± 0.4 μM, n = 3). Pre-treatment of cells with amastatin (10 μM) plus phosphoramidon (1 μM) increased the potency of enkephalin 100-fold (EC₅₀ 0.9 ± 0.3 nM, n = 3). The efficacy of the contractile response was unchanged by the inhibitors (22 ± 0.5%, controls; 22 ± 0.6% with inhibitors; % decrease in cell length, n = 3). Gastric muscle cells degrade enkephalins by the action of aminopeptidases and EC 3.4.24.11. These peptidases modulate the biological actions of enkephalins. The rapidity and magnitude of the potentiating effects of the inhibitors suggests a close relationship between the peptidases and the enkephalin receptor.

193 The Effect of the Long Acting Somatostatin Analogue (SMS 201-995) in the Treatment of Beta Cell Tumors of the Pancreas

D. Mićić, V. Popović, M. Šumarac, M. Nikolić,
Djurović, S. Damjanović, D. Manojlović, J. Mićić
Institute of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Diseases of Metabolism, University Clinical Center,
Belgrade, Yugoslavia

The long acting somatostatin analogue (SMS 201-995, Sandostatin, Sandoz, Basel) was applied in the preoperative management of 5 patients (3 female and 2 male; mean age: 50 ± 10 years; BMI: 27 ± 2.8 kg/m²) with organic hyperinsulinism (subsequently confirmed during surgery as 4 adenomas and 1 carcinoma of the beta cells of the pancreas) in two different doses: 3 × 50 μg s.c. daily from day 1 to day 7 and 4 × 100 μg s.c. daily from day 8 to day 15. On day 0 (placebo) 24-h profile of glycaemia (glucose oxidase), insulin (RIA

INEP) and C-peptide (RIA Biodata) was done. During SMS 201-995 application the same parameters were followed at 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 and 24 h from day 2 to day 15. Glucagon (RIA Biodata) was determined hourly, from 8 to 18 h, on day 0, 1, 8 and 15. During the treatment course with 3 × 50 μg a fall in the mean plasma insulin levels was registered in adenoma patients (70, 63, 80 and 40%, respectively) and only 9% in the patient with carcinoma. Further increase to 4 × 100 μg leads to less suppression in the mean plasma insulin levels in adenoma patients (52, 43, 46 and 17%, respectively) and a greater fall in the patient with carcinoma (47%). The values of the C-peptide were similar to plasma insulin values. SMS 201-995 administration elevates plasma glucose in 3 adenoma patients and in the patient with carcinoma while in one patient with adenoma no change in plasma glucose was found, independent of the dose used. The plasma glucagon levels were low normal.

In conclusion, the administration of SMS 201-995 in the preoperative management of patients with beta cell tumors of the pancreas leads to significant suppression in insulin secretion and improvement in plasma glucose values and clinical symptoms.

pmol/l in dumper, 777 ± 140 in non-dumper, 104 ± 26 pmol/l. There was a significant difference between integrated GLP-1 and integrated C-peptide (p < 0.001). The median emptying half-time of the gastric substitute was 480 sec, 352 ± 106, 635 ± 131 sec in non-dumper. The emptying time was related inversely with integrated C-peptide and insulin (p < 0.01). Gel filtration of pooled postprandial plasma of patients with CIP revealed that all glucagon-like immunoreactivity eluted in the position of gut GLP-1. The author known hyperinsulinemia after partial pancreatectomy is induced by GLP-1. It seems like unabsorbed nutrients stimulates the distal small bowel.

194 Postprandial Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 (GLP-1),

Enteroglucagon and Emptying of the Gastric Substitute after Total Gastrectomy

J. Miholic^a, C. Ørskov^b, J.J. Holst^b, J. Kotzerke^c
^aII. Chirurgische Universitätsklinik, Vienna, Austria;
^bThe Panum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark;
^cMedizinische Hochschule Hannover, FRG

Postprandial hyperinsulinemia, and reactive hypoglycemia in some cases, is a well known phenomenon in patients after total gastrectomy, particularly in those suffering from the dumping syndrome. It was the purpose of this study to shed light on the relationship between rapid emptying of the gastric substitute, the insulinotropic glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and postprandial dumping. Postprandial GLP-1, enteroglucagon, and insulin were measured by radioimmunoassay in 27 tumour-free patients (49 months (median) after total gastrectomy) and in 4 controls. A ^{99m}Technetium-labeled 100 g carbohydrate solid test meal was used to measure emptying of the gastric substitute by scintigraphy in 18 patients. 14 patients suffered from the dumping syndrome, and the intensity of postprandial dumping symptoms (Sigstad's diagnostic index) correlated with early (first 30 min) integrated GLP-1, enteroglucagon and insulin. The peak concentration of GLP-1 was measured at 15 min, peak insulin 30 min after the end of the meal. The peak GLP-1 concentration was 284 ± 40 pmol/l [mean ± SE] in dumper, significantly (p < 0.05) higher than in non-dumper (137 ± 19 pmol/l) and in controls (40 ± 20 pmol/l). The peak insulin concentration was 839 ± 161 pmol/l in dumper, 777 ± 140 in non-dumper, 104 ± 26 pmol/l. There was a significant difference between integrated GLP-1 and integrated C-peptide (p < 0.001). The median emptying half-time of the gastric substitute was 480 sec, 352 ± 106, 635 ± 131 sec in non-dumper. The emptying time was related inversely with integrated C-peptide and insulin (p < 0.01). Gel filtration of pooled postprandial plasma of patients with CIP revealed that all glucagon-like immunoreactivity eluted in the position of gut GLP-1. The author known hyperinsulinemia after partial pancreatectomy is induced by GLP-1. It seems like unabsorbed nutrients stimulates the distal small bowel.

195 Erythromycin Exerts a Prokinetic Effect in Patients with Chronic Idiopathic Intestinal Obstruction

S.M. Miller, T.M. O'Dorisio,
H.S. Mekhjian
Division of Gastroenterology,
University Hospitals, Columbus, OH, USA

Chronic idiopathic intestinal obstruction (CII) is a disease with diverse etiologies, presentation, and course. It is felt to be related to viscerospasm. Erythromycin (E) induces a migrating motility complex (MMC) by activating the motilin receptor without activating the serotonin receptor. We compared the effect of i.v. E (1 g) on interdigestive gastroduodenal motility in CII patients vs. 6 controls, using an 8 channel manometry recording technique. Phase III contractions was: number of gastric contractions (M ± SEM), duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a burst of strong, rhythmic contractions in all subjects which migrated to the duodenum. The number of contractions was significantly higher in CII patients (M ± SEM): number of gastric contractions 45.27 ± 3.32, duration 6.0 ± 0.7 min. CII patients had no organized motility. E induced a